IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

SATURDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 11290 of 2000

Between:

B.Muni Raju, S/oKuppaiah, Age about 36Yrs.,

Working as Junior Assistant at Training cum

Production Center, Andhra Pradesh Vikalangula

Cooperative Corporation, Bakkanna Palem,

Visakhapatnam.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh rep., by
its Secretary to Government, Women's Development
and Child Welfare (WHD) Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Andhra Pradesh Vikalangula Coopearative Corporation
Rep., by its Managing Director, Ground Floor, BRKR Buildings,
Near Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in not regularizing the

services of the petitioner as Junior Assistant w.e.f. 4-12-1989 on par with K.Tammai Naidu, Junior Assistant, as arbitrary, illegal, in violation of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and to issue a consequential direction to the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner w.e.f. 4-12-1989 on par with K.Thammi Naidu and pay all consequential benefits forthwith and to pass such other order or orders which are deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.SUBBA RAO KORRAPATI

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: G.P. for Women Development and Child Welfare.

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: MR.K.RAMANUJACHARY

The Court made the following :

–

–

ORDER:

Both the counsel submit that in similar circumstances this Court disposed of writ petition No. 20152 of 2000 on 30.11.2000, as follows:

> " In my considered opinion, the writ petition is barred by delay and laches. In all financial matters, if a Mandamus is issued from this Court, that would result in a lot of financial indiscipline in a fully owned Government undertaking and the Court cannot be a party to such event. It is, however, open to the petitioner to approach the 2nd and 3d respondents seeking necessary relief even now. As and when such representation is made, the respondents 2 and 3 shall consider the same in accordance with law.
>
> In the result, subject to the above observations, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs."

Learned counsel for the respondents pleads that the similar order may be passed in this writ petition also.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the position seriously.

Following the said order, dated 30.11.2000, the writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

14-10-2004

klp

To

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh rep., by
its Secretary to Government, Women's Development
and Child Welfare (WHD) Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Andhra Pradesh Vikalangula Coopearative Corporation
Rep., by its Managing Director, Ground Floor, BRKR Buildings,
Near Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

3. 2CCs to G.P. for Women Development and Child Welfare, High Court buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT).

4. 2CD copies